Cultural Contexts and Policy Frameworks: Examining Work-life Balance Initiatives in East Asia

Zion Lee

Programme Manager

Hong Kong College of Technology, Division of Hospitality and Applied Learning,

Yiu On Estate, 2 Hang Hong Street, Ma On Shan, N.T., Hong Kong

Abstract

This study presents a comparative analysis of work-life balance (WLB) policies in Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan, and China. Through a qualitative case study approach, it examines the legislative frameworks, implementation strategies, and cultural contexts influencing WLB policies in these countries. The research reveals varying levels of policy comprehensiveness and effectiveness across the four nations. Singapore emerges as a leader with its comprehensive government-led initiatives and strong legislative support for WLB. Japan demonstrates significant policy reforms aimed at addressing demographic challenges, albeit with persistent implementation issues. China shows regional variations in policy implementation, while Hong Kong's approach is characterized as more market-driven with less cohesive government strategies. The study identifies common challenges across the region, including deeply ingrained work cultures, gender disparities, and the need to balance economic competitiveness with employee well-being. The research concludes with targeted recommendations for Hong Kong, emphasizing the need for a more comprehensive legislative framework, enhanced gender equality measures, and a cultural shift towards valuing work-life balance. These findings contribute to the ongoing dialogue on improving work-life balance in East Asian economies and offer insights for policymakers and organizations striving to create more balanced and productive work environments.

Keywords: Work-life balance; East Asian policy; Labor legislation; Organizational culture; Employee well-being

1. Introduction

1.1 Importance of Work-Life Balance in East Asia

Work-life balance (WLB) has become an increasingly critical issue in East Asian countries, where rapid economic growth has often come at the cost of employee well-being and personal life. This study focuses on the WLB policies in four key East Asian economies: Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan, and China. These countries share certain cultural similarities but have adopted different approaches to addressing WLB issues.

The importance of WLB cannot be overstated. Recent research has consistently shown that effective WLB policies lead to increased job satisfaction, improved mental health, and enhanced

productivity (Haar et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2015). However, implementing such policies in East Asian contexts presents unique challenges due to deeply ingrained work cultures and societal expectations (Chung, 2020).

1.2 Cultural and Organizational Influences on Work-Life Balance
Work-life balance is a critical aspect of employee well-being and performance, particularly in East Asian cities where unique cultural and organizational factors come into play. Studies have shown that work-life balance significantly impacts employee performance (Mahendra, 2024). In East Asian contexts, such as China, the mediating role of Chinese values influences work-life balance satisfaction among employees, highlighting the importance of cultural factors in shaping perceptions of work-life balance (Hassan et al., 2023). The collectivistic organizational culture in East Asia often leads to long work hours and close ties at work, creating a distinct work environment that can challenge work-life balance (Ko et al., 2023).

The normalization of work-life balance is a cultural process that varies across regions, as seen in the case of Polish nurses migrating to Norway in search of a "normal" work-life balance (Pawlak, 2024). This emphasizes how perceptions of work-life balance can differ between countries and cultures. In East Asian immigrant communities in the U.S., ecological risk and protective models play a role in influencing the psychological well-being of immigrant fathers, showcasing the complex interplay between work, family, and well-being (Ko et al., 2023).

1.3 Challenges and Objectives of the Study
The impact of work-related stress on employees in East Asian countries is a significant concern due to the lack of relevant policies and welfare systems supporting employee rights (Cheng et al., 2022). This suggests that work-life balance issues may have a more serious impact on employees in East Asian cities compared to their Western counterparts. Furthermore, the association between work stress and health behaviors in Korean and Japanese aging studies underscores the importance of addressing work-related stressors to promote overall well-being (Cheng et al., 2022).

This study aims to:
- Analyze and compare the WLB policies in Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan, and China.
- Identify best practices and areas for improvement in each country's approach.
- Provide recommendations for enhancing WLB policies, with a particular focus on Hong Kong.

By examining these policies in the context of East Asian cultural norms, organizational practices, and emerging global trends, this research seeks to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on improving work-life balance in East Asian economies. The findings will be particularly relevant for policymakers, HR professionals, and organizations striving to create more balanced and productive work environments in these rapidly evolving economies.

2. Literature Review
2.1 Defining Work-Life Balance

WLB is a multifaceted concept that has evolved significantly in recent years. Initially, Greenhaus et al. (2003) defined it as the extent to which an individual is equally engaged in and satisfied with their work role and family role. However, contemporary literature has expanded this definition to encompass a broader perspective.

Work-life balance now refers to the ability of individuals to effectively manage and harmonize their work responsibilities with all aspects of personal life, including family commitments, leisure, health, and personal development (Kelliher et al., 2019; Sharma & Nidhi, 2023; Limatujuh, 2023). This balance is crucial for minimizing conflicts and enhancing motivation, productivity, and overall well-being (Kalliath & Brough, 2008; Inggamara et al., 2022).

Russo et al. (2015) suggest that WLB should be viewed as a dynamic process rather than a static state, acknowledging the changing nature of work and life demands over time. This perspective aligns with the idea that achieving work-life balance is not just about time management but also about setting priorities and ensuring that personal time, family responsibilities, and work obligations are integrated with minimal conflict (Lestari & Rahardianto, 2021; Tarquinio, 2016).

Organizations play a significant role in supporting work-life balance by implementing practices that cater to the needs of employees. These may include flexible work hours, family support programs, and comprehensive leave policies (Shylaja & Prasad, 2017; Kumar & Velmurugan, 2018; Sukarman, 2022). Such initiatives are essential for enhancing employee satisfaction, engagement, and commitment, ultimately contributing to improved organizational performance and reduced turnover rates (Tarquinio, 2016).

The importance of work-life balance is particularly evident in high-demand professions such as healthcare and education, where the risk of stress and burnout is significant if not managed effectively (Yadav, 2024; Raja & Stein, 2014; Buba, 2024). In these contexts, achieving a balance between professional responsibilities and personal life becomes crucial for maintaining long-term career satisfaction and preventing burnout.

Recent studies have also highlighted the role of emotional intelligence in achieving work-life balance (Shylaja & Prasad, 2017), as well as the impact of flexible work arrangements on WLB (Sharma & Nidhi, 2023). Additionally, research has explored the relationship between work-life balance and other factors such as job satisfaction, employee well-being, and turnover intentions (Sukarman, 2022; Yadav, 2024).

In conclusion, work-life balance is a complex and evolving concept that goes beyond the simple division of time between work and personal life. It involves a holistic approach to managing various life domains, with the ultimate goal of achieving personal fulfillment and professional success. As organizations and individuals continue to navigate the changing landscape of work, understanding and promoting work-life balance remains a critical area of focus in both research and practice. Having established the definition and importance of work-life balance, we now turn to examine the specific policies aimed at promoting it in organizational settings

2.2 Importance of Work-Life Balance Policies

WLB policies have emerged as a critical focus for organizations in recent years, with numerous studies highlighting their significant impact on both individual employees and organizational outcomes. These policies aim to help employees effectively manage their work responsibilities alongside personal commitments, ultimately fostering a harmonious relationship between professional and personal life spheres.

Research consistently demonstrates that organizations implementing effective WLB policies experience a range of benefits. Cegarra-Leiva et al. (2012) found that supportive WLB cultures led to improved employee retention and job satisfaction, a finding corroborated by more recent studies. Sirgy and Lee (2018) showed that WLB initiatives positively impact not only employee well-being but also organizational performance. This positive relationship extends to various organizational outcomes, including reduced absenteeism, increased productivity, and lower turnover rates (Korompot, 2023; Mohamed & Zaki, 2017; Brough et al., 2008; Kanten, 2014).

The importance of WLB policies for employee well-being cannot be overstated. Sato et al. (2020) demonstrated that poor WLB, characterized by long working hours and insufficient rest, negatively impacts mental health. Conversely, effective WLB policies lead to improved job satisfaction, better mental health, and increased productivity among employees (Le et al., 2020; Munyeka & Maharaj, 2023). Opoku et al. (2023) further emphasized that these policies contribute to higher levels of organizational commitment and overall life satisfaction.

Successful implementation of WLB policies encompasses various elements, including flexible work arrangements, personal development opportunities, effective communication, and comprehensive welfare measures (Li et al., 2024). Okolie et al. (2023) stress the importance of proper communication, awareness, and training of managers for the successful adoption of these initiatives. By fostering a culture that values work-life balance, organizations can create a supportive environment leading to greater employee loyalty and enhanced performance (Eshak & Transport, 2021).

WLB policies also play a crucial role in promoting gender equality in the workplace and supporting dual-career couples in managing work and family demands (Lewis & Campbell, 2008; Rahman et al., 2018). This aspect is particularly important in addressing the unique challenges faced by working parents and caregivers, contributing to a more inclusive and supportive work environment.

The relevance of WLB policies extends across different cultural contexts. A systematic review of WLB in Asia by Le et al. (2020) highlighted its significance for both individual and organizational outcomes in the East Asian context, reinforcing the universal importance of these policies.

It is crucial for organizations to adopt a holistic approach to WLB, considering the interplay between work responsibilities and various aspects of personal life, including family, health, and personal development (Kelliher et al., 2019). This approach ensures that WLB policies do not

solely focus on financial performance but also on enhancing employee commitment and satisfaction.

As the nature of work continues to evolve, particularly in light of global events and technological advancements, the role of WLB policies in fostering a healthy, productive, and engaged workforce becomes increasingly critical. Organizations that prioritize and effectively implement these policies can benefit from improved employee well-being, enhanced productivity, and a more engaged workforce, ultimately contributing to their long-term success and sustainability.

2.3 Global Perspectives on Work-Life Balance

The concept of work-life balance (WLB) has garnered significant attention globally, with varying approaches and implementations across different cultural contexts. In Western countries, particularly within the European Union, work-life balance policies are often supported by legislative frameworks. The EU's Working Time Directive exemplifies this, establishing limits on working hours and mandating minimum rest periods, which are crucial for promoting employee well-being and productivity (Burri, 2020). This legislative support contrasts sharply with the situation in the United States, where work-life balance initiatives tend to be driven by individual companies rather than government mandates. Prominent tech firms like Google and Facebook have pioneered extensive parental leave policies and flexible working arrangements, reflecting a corporate culture that prioritizes employee satisfaction and retention (Brough et al., 2020).

Scandinavian countries, particularly Sweden, are frequently cited as exemplary models for work-life balance. Sweden's parental leave policy, which allows up to 480 days of paid leave per child, underscores a commitment to family-friendly policies that facilitate a healthier work-life integration (Martínez-Tola & Barredo, 2023). This approach is rooted in societal values that prioritize both work and family, contrasting with the more individualistic and competitive nature of work-life balance discussions in many East Asian contexts, where cultural norms around work ethic and collectivism often dominate (Yoon & Park, 2023; , Le et al., 2020).

2.4 Work-Life Balance in the Cultural Context of East Asia

The implementation and understanding of WLB in East Asia present unique challenges and considerations due to the region's distinct cultural, economic, and social landscape. While the concept of WLB has gained increasing attention globally, its application in East Asian contexts requires careful consideration of local cultural norms and societal expectations.

East Asia has undergone significant social changes in recent years, including the expansion of higher education, growing economic inequality, and increased labor market uncertainty, all of which have impacted family dynamics and work culture (Park, 2021). Traditional values such as hierarchical human relationships, communitarianism, and a strong work ethic continue to influence attitudes towards work and family life in the region (Lim, 2022). These cultural factors complicate the implementation of WLB policies that may have been developed with Western contexts in mind.

Lewis et al. (2007) argued that the Western concept of WLB might not directly translate to East Asian contexts. Building on this, recent research has provided more nuanced insights into the cultural challenges faced in implementing WLB policies in East Asia. Chung and Van der Lippe (2020) suggest that cultural expectations around work and family roles significantly influence the adoption and effectiveness of WLB policies in East Asian countries. This is particularly evident in the culture of long working hours and high organizational commitment prevalent in many East Asian nations, which poses ongoing challenges to WLB implementation despite increasing awareness of its importance (Chiu & Ng, 2020).

Japan serves as a prime example of these challenges. Ono (2022) argues that Japan must reform its inflexible work culture to promote better WLB and improve overall productivity. This push for change is partly driven by demographic challenges, such as low fertility rates, which have prompted policymakers to consider WLB as a potential solution to broader societal issues.

Despite these challenges, research consistently demonstrates the benefits of achieving WLB in East Asian contexts. Studies indicate that WLB is associated with enhanced employee performance, job satisfaction, and overall well-being (Mahendra, 2024; Bhende et al., 2020; Gunawan, 2024; Ibegbulam & Ejikeme, 2021). Moreover, maintaining WLB is linked to lower stress levels and increased employee efficiency and adaptability in meeting job demands (Fuadiputra & Novianti, 2021; Bhende et al., 2020).

The importance of WLB in East Asia extends beyond individual benefits. Ibegbulam & Ejikeme (2021) found that WLB not only boosts job satisfaction but also fosters a sense of fulfillment and satisfaction in both professional and personal life, reducing conflicts between work and non-work responsibilities. This holistic approach to well-being is particularly relevant in East Asian societies, where work and family life are often closely intertwined.

As East Asia continues to evolve in the face of economic development and globalization, traditional norms are being reshaped. This changing landscape presents both challenges and opportunities for implementing effective WLB policies. Organizations operating in East Asia must recognize the importance of WLB in promoting employee happiness and preventing burnout while also acknowledging the cultural nuances and societal expectations prevalent in the region.

2.5 Gender Dynamics and Work-Life Balance
Gender plays a significant role in the WLB discourse in East Asia, influencing family structures, societal norms, and workplace dynamics. Research has consistently shown that gender is a crucial factor in shaping WLB experiences and outcomes in the region.

Yamada (2024) notes that East Asia grapples with persistent gender inequality, which shapes family structures and societal norms. The study highlights that gender roles and expectations significantly influence decisions regarding family and work, particularly impacting women's choices in East Asia. This finding aligns with earlier research by Berik et al. (2003), which

demonstrated that gender wage equity remains a challenge in the region, with trade not necessarily promoting equality as might be expected in developing economies.

A study conducted by Chen et al. (2022) in Hong Kong revealed that working parents, particularly women, face considerable stress in balancing work and family responsibilities. The researchers found that women were more likely to experience work-family conflict and reported higher levels of stress compared to their male counterparts. These findings underscore the gendered nature of WLB challenges in East Asian contexts.

Chen et al. (2022) and Le et al. (2020) suggest that addressing these gender-related WLB challenges could potentially contribute to broader societal goals such as gender equality and increased fertility rates, which are pressing concerns in many East Asian countries. However, the authors note that achieving these outcomes requires carefully designed, gender-sensitive WLB policies.

Cultural preferences and biases also play a role in shaping gender dynamics and WLB in East Asia. Duan & Hicks (2020) found that son preference persists not only in East Asian countries but also in immigrant households from South, East, and Southeast Asia in the United States, reflecting deep-rooted gender biases. This cultural preference can impact family planning decisions, career choices, and the distribution of household responsibilities, all of which are integral to WLB.

3. Methodology
3.1 Research Approach
This study employs a qualitative comparative policy analysis to examine WLB policies in Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan, and mainland China. The research focuses on comparing legislative frameworks, implementation strategies, and cultural contexts influencing WLB policies in these East Asian jurisdictions. The selection of Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan, and China as case studies was based on several factors. These countries represent a range of economic development stages and policy approaches within East Asia. They share certain cultural similarities, allowing for meaningful comparisons, while also exhibiting distinct differences in their legislative and organizational approaches to work-life balance. This selection enables a comprehensive analysis of how different East Asian contexts influence the implementation and effectiveness of work-life balance policies.

3.2 Data Collection
Data were collected from three main types of secondary sources. First, government publications were utilized, including official policy documents and legislative texts. Second, academic literature provided valuable insights, drawing on peer-reviewed articles and books focused on WLB in East Asia. Lastly, reports from international organizations, such as the OECD and ILO, were also examined to gather comprehensive information on the topic.

3.3 Analysis Framework

The comparative analysis is structured around three key aspects. First, it examines the policy content and legislative framework to understand the foundational regulations and guidelines. Second, it explores the implementation strategies to assess how these policies are put into practice. Lastly, it considers the cultural context and challenges, which are crucial for understanding the unique factors influencing work-life balance in different settings.

3.4 Limitations

The study relies on secondary data, which may limit insights into real-world policy implementation. Findings are specific to the four jurisdictions studied and may not be generalizable to other contexts.

4. Findings and Analysis

4.1 Region-Specific Analysis

4.1.1 Hong Kong's Approach to Work-Life Balance

Hong Kong's approach to WLB has evolved significantly in recent years, particularly in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and changing work dynamics. The city's labor policies reflect a combination of government regulations and corporate initiatives, adapting to the "new normal" in the post-COVID world.

Prior to the pandemic, Hong Kong's work culture was characterized by long working hours and limited flexibility. However, the COVID-19 crisis has accelerated the adoption of flexible work arrangements, including work-from-home (WFH) policies (Vyas, 2022). Unlike during the SARS outbreak in 2003, when WFH was not a widespread option for the workforce, the ongoing pandemic has necessitated a shift in work practices (Vyas, 2022; Hartley & Jarvis, 2020). This change has prompted both the government and private sector to reassess their approach to WLB, with companies around the globe, including those in Hong Kong, telling staff to work from home (Boland et al., 2020). The pandemic has led to flexible employment relationships and careers, forcing organizations to adapt to new working models. In Hong Kong, the implementation of social distancing measures, including working from home, has had significant impacts on the population's mental health and work experiences (Yu et al., 2022).

Recent studies have shown a disparity in the utilization of family-friendly policies (FFPs) among different groups of professionals in Hong Kong and Thailand during the COVID-19 pandemic. Jongruck and Vyas (2024) found differences in how various groups of higher education professionals utilized work-life balance policies in Hong Kong. This highlights the need for more inclusive and accessible WLB policies across various sectors and job roles.

Despite these improvements, Hong Kong still faces challenges in achieving optimal work-life balance. In a 2022 global ranking of cities based on work-life balance, Hong Kong was listed as one of the top overworked cities worldwide (Kisi, 2022). This suggests that while progress has been made, there is still room for improvement in terms of reducing excessive working hours and promoting a healthier work-life balance.

The COVID-19 pandemic has catalyzed a reevaluation of work-life balance policies and practices in Hong Kong. This shift has significant implications for labor markets, with the government and employers now facing the challenge of balancing the benefits of flexible work arrangements with productivity and team cohesion needs. The pandemic's impact on work-life balance and labor markets has prompted a necessary reassessment of existing policies and practices (Vyas, 2022).

In summary, Hong Kong's approach to work-life balance appears largely reactive, driven more by external factors like the COVID-19 pandemic than by proactive policy-making. The observed disparities in policy utilization across professional groups, coupled with Hong Kong's ranking as one of the world's most overworked cities, suggest that the current voluntary approach to work-life balance policies may be inadequate. These findings point to the need for more comprehensive and inclusive policy frameworks to effectively promote work-life balance across all sectors of Hong Kong's workforce.

4.1.2 Singapore's Approach to Work-Life Balance
Singapore has emerged as a leader in promoting WLB in Southeast Asia, adopting a comprehensive approach that interweaves government initiatives, corporate policies, and societal shifts (Ministry of Manpower, 2023a; Ministry of Manpower, 2017). The city-state's strategy reflects a deep-seated recognition of WLB as a crucial factor in maintaining economic competitiveness and fostering social well-being (Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 2018).

At the heart of Singapore's WLB efforts lies a suite of government initiatives designed to encourage and support flexible work arrangements. The Work-Life Grant (WLG), administered by the Ministry of Manpower (MOM), stands as a cornerstone of these efforts. Offering up to $70,000 per company over two years, the grant aims to support the implementation and sustaining of flexible work arrangements, thereby embedding work-life practices into organizational cultures across the nation (Ministry of Manpower, 2023a). This financial incentive is complemented by the Tripartite Standard on Flexible Work Arrangements, launched in 2017, which provides comprehensive guidelines for companies to effectively implement and manage flexible work arrangements (Ministry of Manpower, 2017).

The Singapore government's commitment to WLB extends beyond mere financial incentives. In 2018, Singapore presented its Voluntary National Review at the United Nations High-Level Political Forum on Sustainable Development, highlighting its efforts to promote work-life measures, shared parenting, and equal partnership in the family (Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 2018). This move underscores the government's recognition of the unique challenges faced by employees in balancing work and family responsibilities. Furthermore, the introduction of the Tripartite Advisory on Mental Well-being at Workplaces, updated in 2023, demonstrates a growing awareness of the intricate link between mental health and work-life balance, providing employers with guidance on supporting their employees' mental well-being (Ministry of Manpower, 2023b).

According to a recent report, Singapore ranks the highest among Southeast Asian countries in terms of work-life balance (Cheng, 2024). The private sector in Singapore has responded positively to government initiatives, increasingly embracing WLB practices. The COVID-19 pandemic has served as a catalyst, accelerating the shift towards hybrid work environments. Many organizations now allow employees to split their time between working from home and the office, a trend that seems likely to persist in the post-pandemic era (HeySara, 2020). Beyond flexible work arrangements, companies are also offering more flexible benefits packages, allowing employees to choose benefits that best suit their individual needs and life stages.

Mental health support has gained prominence in corporate WLB strategies, with a growing number of companies providing services such as counseling and stress management programs (Singapore National Employers Federation, 2023). Additionally, recognizing the importance of supporting employees with caregiving responsibilities, some organizations have implemented benefits specifically designed for caregivers, such as eldercare leave and subsidies for caregiving services.

Singapore's approach to work-life balance can be analyzed through several theoretical lenses. It aligns with the Resource-Based View of the firm (Barney, 1991), viewing human capital as a key resource for national competitiveness. The government's proactive role also reflects elements of the Varieties of Capitalism theory (Hall & Soskice, 2001), positioning Singapore as a form of coordinated market economy. Furthermore, Singapore's policies can be understood through the lens of Work-Family Border Theory (Clark, 2000), emphasizing the importance of managing boundaries between work and family domains.

In conclusion, Singapore's comprehensive approach to work-life balance, which combines government initiatives, corporate policies, and societal shifts, appears to be yielding positive results. This is evidenced by its high ranking in work-life balance among Southeast Asian countries. The government's proactive role in providing financial incentives and guidelines for flexible work arrangements, coupled with the private sector's positive response, suggests that a coordinated approach between government and business can be effective in promoting work-life balance within an East Asian context.

4.1.3 Japan's Approach to Work-Life Balance
Japan has been making significant efforts to improve WLB in recent years, driven by concerns over long working hours, low productivity, and demographic challenges. The country's approach to WLB has evolved through a series of policy initiatives and legal reforms.

The legal framework supporting WLB in Japan has been progressively strengthened. Key legislation includes:
i. The Child Care and Family Care Leave Law: This law provides for childcare leave, family care leave, and limits on overtime and late-night work for employees with family care responsibilities. It aims to create a work environment where workers can balance their work and family life, including child-rearing and family care (Ministry of Health, Labour and

Welfare [MHLW], n.d.-a).

ii. The Act on Advancement of Measures to Support Raising Next-Generation Children: This law requires companies to formulate action plans for supporting employees in balancing work and child-rearing. It promotes the creation of a society where all children can grow up healthy and all people can fulfill both work and child-rearing responsibilities (MHLW, 2015).

iii. Work Style Reform Law: Implemented in 2019, this comprehensive labor reform law aims to realize a society where people can choose various flexible work styles. Key measures include setting the maximum limit of overtime work, ensuring equal pay for equal work between regular and non-regular workers, and exempting high-level professionals from working hour regulations (MHLW, 2019).

Recent policy developments have focused on addressing persistent challenges:

iv. Promotion of Telework: The Japanese government has been actively promoting telework as a flexible work style that can contribute to work-life balance. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic and to further promote telework, the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW) revised its telework guidelines in March 2021. These new "Guidelines for Promoting and Establishing High-quality Telework" aim to create an environment where diverse workers can demonstrate their abilities through telework. The guidelines cover various aspects including the scope of teleworkers, personnel evaluation, and ensuring appropriate working conditions (The Japan Institute for Labour Policy and Training, 2021).

v. Encouraging Male Participation in Childcare: Japan has been working to increase the uptake of paternity leave. The Child Care and Family Care Leave Law was revised to allow men to take up to four weeks of paternity leave within eight weeks of their child's birth (MHLW, n.d.-a).

Despite these initiatives, Japan still struggles to achieve a satisfactory work-life balance. OECD data reveals that Japan lags behind other developed nations in this aspect. The country's work culture is characterized by extended hours, with 15.7% of Japanese employees working more than 50 hours per week, significantly higher than the OECD average of 10.9% (OECD, 2023). Furthermore, full-time workers in Japan allocate only 14.1 hours daily to personal care and leisure activities, including essentials like eating and sleeping, as well as socializing and hobbies. This falls short of the OECD average by nearly an hour, highlighting the persistent challenge in balancing work and personal life in Japanese society.

The Act on Promotion of Women's Participation and Advancement in the Workplace, enacted in 2015, aims to address these gender disparities by promoting women's career advancement and creating work environments that support work-life balance (MHLW, n.d.-b).

Despite these initiatives, Japan continues to face challenges in achieving a satisfactory work-life balance. OECD data reveals that Japan lags behind other developed nations, with a higher percentage of employees working extended hours and less time allocated to personal care and leisure activities. Gender disparities persist, with women often bearing a disproportionate burden of household and caregiving responsibilities alongside work commitments.

In conclusion, while Japan has made significant strides in developing policies to improve work-life balance, the persistence of long working hours and low uptake of paternity leave indicate that policy changes alone may be insufficient to overcome deeply ingrained cultural norms. This suggests that successful implementation of work-life balance initiatives in Japan may require not only continued policy reform but also targeted efforts to shift organizational culture and societal expectations. The ongoing efforts to address these issues will be crucial in shaping the future of work-life balance in Japan.

4.1.4 China's Approach to Work-Life Balance
China's rapid economic growth has brought WLB issues to the forefront of policy discussions. Recent developments in China's approach to WLB include labor law amendments, parental leave policies, and adaptations due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

China has been making ongoing efforts to strengthen its labor laws and social security system. The Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security issued its 14th Five Year Plan in June 2021, proposing to extend the minimum standard for maternity insurance to all employees (China Labour Bulletin, 2021). This plan aims to improve working conditions and protect workers' rights, including aspects that contribute to better work-life balance.

The country has made strides in supporting working parents. While the national standard for maternity leave remains at 98 days, many provinces have extended this duration. For instance, Beijing increased maternity leave to 158 days in 2021. Paternity leave provisions have also been introduced, though they vary significantly by province, typically ranging from 7 to 30 days (China Labour Bulletin, 2021).

The pandemic has influenced WLB practices in China. During the height of the pandemic, many businesses implemented remote work policies, inadvertently contributing to discussions about flexible work arrangements. As China returned to pre-pandemic life in early 2023, there has been increased attention to maintaining some of the WLB benefits gained during this period (Li & Li, 2023).

It's important to note that while progress is being made, challenges remain. The implementation of WLB policies in China varies significantly across regions and industries. Practices such as the "996" work schedule (9 am to 9 pm, six days a week) persist in some sectors, particularly in the tech industry, despite efforts to improve work-life balance (China Labour Bulletin, 2021).

In the broader context of social welfare, China has also been working on improving its social security system. The 14th Five Year Plan aims to increase the coverage of basic old-age insurance for the entire population to 95% by 2025, which could indirectly impact work-life balance by providing more security for workers (China Labour Bulletin, 2021).

China has been taking steps to address human trafficking, which, while not directly related to WLB, demonstrates a commitment to improving overall labor conditions. The Action Plan

Against Human Trafficking (2021-2030) involves multiple ministries, including the Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security, in efforts to protect vulnerable workers (China Law Translate, 2022).

These developments can be analyzed through the lens of Modernization Theory (Inglehart & Welzel, 2005), which suggests that economic development leads to cultural and political changes. As China's economy has developed, there's been a gradual shift towards post-materialist values, including greater emphasis on quality of life and WLB.

The persistence of intense work schedules like "996" also reflects elements of the Competing Values Framework (Cameron & Quinn, 2011). This framework suggests that organizations often face tensions between different values, such as flexibility versus stability, or internal focus versus external focus. In China's rapidly growing tech sector, the value placed on market competitiveness and growth often conflicts with WLB considerations.

China's approach to work-life balance is closely tied to its economic policies and development goals. As the country aims to maintain economic growth while addressing demographic challenges, policies supporting work-life balance are increasingly seen as crucial for sustainable development and social stability (Gourinchas, 2023). However, the implementation of these policies varies significantly across regions and industries, reflecting the diverse economic landscape of the country.

In conclusion, China's approach to work-life balance reflects the tensions inherent in its rapid economic development. While there have been improvements in labor laws and parental leave policies, the persistence of intense work schedules in some sectors and regional variations in policy implementation highlight the challenges of balancing economic growth with work-life balance considerations. This suggests that in large, diverse countries like China, national-level policies may need to be complemented by industry-specific and region-specific initiatives to effectively promote work-life balance across all sectors of the workforce.

4.2 Comparative Analysis
4.2.1 Policy Content and Legislative Framework
The East Asian countries under study (Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan, and China) demonstrate varying approaches to WLB policies, reflecting their unique socio-economic contexts and cultural norms.

Japan has been grappling with significant demographic challenges, including an aging population and low birth rates. In response, the Japanese government has implemented various policy reforms aimed at improving work-life balance. However, the effectiveness and comprehensiveness of these efforts have been subjects of debate among researchers and policymakers (Osawa & Kingston, 2015).

Hong Kong and Singapore, while acknowledging the importance of WLB, have adopted different strategies. Singapore has implemented more comprehensive government-led initiatives, whereas Hong Kong's approach tends to be more market-driven. China, in contrast, exhibits regional variations in policy implementation, with some provinces extending parental leave beyond national standards (Chandra, 2012).

A comparative analysis of WLB policies across different regions suggests that East Asian countries, including those in our study, face unique challenges compared to their Western counterparts. These challenges are often rooted in cultural norms, economic pressures, and institutional frameworks that differ significantly from those in Western nations (Chandra, 2012).

4.2.2 Implementation Strategies
The implementation of WLB policies varies significantly across the four countries, reflecting differences in governance structures and cultural attitudes towards work and family life.

In Japan, the implementation of WLB policies has been gradual and often faces resistance from traditional work cultures. The government has introduced various initiatives, such as the "Work Style Reform" legislation, but the effectiveness of these measures in changing deeply ingrained work practices remains a challenge (Nemoto, 2013).

Singapore has adopted a more proactive government-led approach to implementing WLB policies. This includes financial incentives for companies and guidelines for implementing flexible work arrangements. However, the impact of these policies on actual work-life balance practices in companies varies widely (Straughan & Tadai, 2016).

Hong Kong and China tend to rely more on corporate initiatives for implementing WLB policies. This is particularly true for multinational corporations and larger domestic firms. However, this approach can lead to inconsistencies in policy implementation across different sectors and company sizes (Xiao & Cooke, 2012).

4.2.3 Cultural Context and Challenges
Cultural factors play a significant role in shaping the effectiveness of WLB policies across East Asia. All four countries face challenges related to traditional work cultures that prioritize long working hours and face-time in the office. This is particularly pronounced in Japan, where the concept of "karoshi" (death from overwork) has gained attention (North & Morioka, 2016).

Gender roles and expectations continue to impact the effectiveness of WLB policies across the region. This is particularly evident in Japan and China, where women often bear a disproportionate burden of family responsibilities despite increasing participation in the workforce (Yu, 2015).

4.2.4 Impact of COVID-19 and Future Directions
The COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant impact on WLB policies and practices across East Asia. It has accelerated the adoption of flexible work arrangements, particularly in Hong

Kong and Singapore, where the infrastructure and corporate culture were more amenable to remote work (Chung & van der Lippe, 2020).

Looking ahead, all four countries face common challenges in improving WLB:
- Changing deeply ingrained work cultures that prioritize long hours and physical presence in the office.
- Addressing gender inequality in both the workplace and domestic responsibilities.
- Responding to demographic pressures, particularly aging populations and low birth rates in Japan, Singapore, and Hong Kong.
- Managing the challenges of maintaining healthy work-life boundaries in an increasingly digital work environment.

In conclusion, while Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan, and China all recognize the importance of WLB, their approaches and outcomes vary significantly due to differences in institutional frameworks, cultural norms, and economic contexts. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to track the long-term impacts of these policies, cross-cultural comparative analyses to identify best practices, and interdisciplinary approaches that integrate insights from sociology, psychology, and management studies to provide a more comprehensive understanding of work-life balance in East Asia.

5. Discussion
5.1 Key Findings
Our comparative analysis of WLB policies in Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan, and China has revealed several significant findings:

Policy Comprehensiveness: The extent and depth of WLB policies vary considerably among the four countries. Japan and Singapore have demonstrated more comprehensive approaches, implementing a series of policies and institutional reforms aimed at creating favorable environments for balancing work and family life (Gietel-Basten et al., 2023). In contrast, Hong Kong and China exhibit a more fragmented approach to WLB policies, with less cohesive strategies in place.

Cultural Influence: Deep-rooted cultural norms continue to exert a strong influence on the effectiveness of WLB policies across East Asia. A systematic review by Zheng et al. (2021) highlights the significant impact of cultural factors on both policy implementation and acceptance. This cultural dimension often creates a tension between traditional work ethics and modern WLB concepts, affecting how policies are perceived and adopted in practice.

Gender Disparities: Despite progress in policy development, gender equality remains a persistent challenge in all four countries. This is particularly evident in areas of equal compensation and work-life balance opportunities. The Sasakawa Peace Foundation (2019) report underscores these disparities, noting that they are manifest in both policy formulation and practical implementation across the region.

Policy-Practice Gap: A notable discrepancy exists between the presence of WLB policies and their effective implementation, especially in Hong Kong and China. This gap is often attributed to traditional work cultures, organizational practices, and societal expectations that prioritize work over personal life (Zheng et al., 2021). The existence of policies does not always translate into meaningful changes in workplace practices or employee experiences.

Economic Considerations: The implementation of WLB policies is often influenced by economic factors. Countries must balance the desire for improved work-life balance with concerns about economic competitiveness and productivity. This balancing act is particularly evident in high-performance economies like Hong Kong and Singapore, where long working hours have been traditionally associated with economic success.

5.2 Implications for Hong Kong
Based on these key findings, several implications for Hong Kong's approach to WLB policies can be identified:

Need for a Comprehensive Policy Framework: Hong Kong could benefit significantly from developing a more comprehensive and cohesive WLB policy framework. The current fragmented approach may be insufficient to address the complex challenges of modern work-life balance. A more holistic strategy, similar to Japan's approach of implementing a series of interconnected policies and reforms, could yield better results (Gietel-Basten et al., 2023).

Addressing Cultural Barriers: The deeply ingrained work culture in Hong Kong, which often prioritizes long working hours and face-time at the office, presents a significant barrier to effective WLB policies. Any policy initiatives must be accompanied by efforts to shift cultural norms and expectations around work and personal life. This requires a long-term strategy that considers Hong Kong's unique cultural context within the East Asian region (Zheng et al., 2021).

Gender-Specific Initiatives: The persistent gender disparities in the workplace highlight the need for targeted policies to address gender equality issues. Hong Kong should consider implementing more robust measures to ensure equal opportunities, compensation, and work-life balance for all genders, as highlighted in regional studies (Sasakawa Peace Foundation, 2019).

Bridging the Policy-Practice Gap: Efforts should be made to ensure that WLB policies are not just formulated but effectively implemented across various sectors and organization sizes. This may involve stronger enforcement mechanisms, incentives for compliance, and regular monitoring of policy effectiveness (Zheng et al., 2021).

Economic Considerations: Hong Kong must carefully balance its WLB initiatives with its economic goals. Policies should be designed to enhance both employee well-being and organizational productivity, demonstrating that improved work-life balance can contribute to, rather than detract from, economic competitiveness.

Mental Health Focus: Given the high-stress work environment in Hong Kong, there is a pressing need to incorporate mental health considerations into WLB policies. This aligns with global trends recognizing the importance of mental well-being in overall productivity and job satisfaction.

5.3 Future Trends and Policy Implementation Challenges

As East Asian countries, particularly Japan, China, Singapore, and Hong Kong, continue to evolve their approach to WLB, several key trends and challenges are emerging that will shape the future landscape of WLB policies in the region. While our analysis has covered these four diverse economies, the unique position of Hong Kong as a global financial hub with its distinct blend of Eastern and Western influences presents both specific challenges and opportunities. Understanding these broader regional trends will inform our subsequent recommendations, which will focus on Hong Kong's potential to lead in WLB policy innovation.

5.3.1 Impact of Remote Work on Work-Life Balance

The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the adoption of remote work across East Asia, fundamentally altering traditional work paradigms and impacting work-life balance. Recent research highlights the importance of workplace and family support in achieving work-life balance, which in turn affects employees' psychological availability and energy at work (Russo et al., 2015). This is particularly relevant in the context of East Asian countries, where work cultures and family structures often differ from Western norms.

Recent data shows varying levels of remote work adoption and its impact on WLB across East Asian countries. For instance, about half of the workforce throughout Asia and Australia is actively seeking new job opportunities, potentially due to dissatisfaction with their current work-life balance (Whiting, 2024). This trend underscores the importance of addressing WLB issues in the region.

5.3.2 Cultural and Economic Factors Influencing Work-Life Balance

The implementation of WLB policies in East Asia faces unique challenges due to cultural and economic factors. In many Asian countries, work is often prioritized over personal life, and there is a strong emphasis on collectivism and hierarchy in the workplace. These cultural norms can make it difficult to implement Western-style WLB policies without adaptation to local contexts. Russo et al. (2015) emphasize the significance of both workplace and family support in achieving work-life balance. Their research suggests that organizations in East Asia might need to consider not only workplace policies but also how these interact with family dynamics and support systems unique to the region.

5.3.3 Generational Differences and Changing Expectations

As younger generations enter the workforce, there is a growing demand for better work-life balance and flexible work arrangements in East Asia. This shift in expectations is partly driven by global trends and exposure to different work cultures. For example, a study by Parker and Horowitz (2022) found that low pay, lack of opportunities for advancement, and feeling

disrespected at work were top reasons for quitting jobs in 2021, with many workers citing the desire for better work-life balance and flexibility. Similar trends are likely to influence workforce expectations in East Asia.

5.3.4 Policy Implications and Future Directions
To address these challenges and trends, policymakers and organizations in East Asia need to develop comprehensive WLB strategies that take into account local cultural contexts while also responding to changing workforce expectations. Some potential approaches include:

Promoting flexible work arrangements: Encouraging remote work options and flexible schedules can help employees better manage their work and personal responsibilities (Whiting, 2024).

Enhancing workplace and family support: As highlighted by Russo et al. (2015), both workplace and family support are crucial for achieving work-life balance. Organizations should consider policies that address both aspects.

Addressing cultural barriers: Developing policies that acknowledge and work within existing cultural norms while gradually promoting more balanced work-life practices.

Educating managers and employees: Providing training on the importance of WLB and how to effectively implement and utilize WLB policies.

Monitoring and evaluation: Regularly assessing the effectiveness of WLB policies and adjusting based on employee feedback and changing needs.

In conclusion, while East Asian countries face unique challenges in implementing effective work-life balance policies, there is a growing recognition of the importance of WLB for employee well-being and organizational success. Future research should focus on developing culturally appropriate WLB strategies that can address the specific needs and contexts of East Asian workforces while also adapting to global trends in remote work and changing employee expectations.

Having examined the WLB policies and challenges across Japan, China, Singapore, and Hong Kong, we now turn our attention to specific recommendations for Hong Kong. While these recommendations draw insights from all four countries studied, they are tailored to address Hong Kong's unique economic, social, and cultural context. By leveraging lessons from its regional counterparts and adapting them to its specific needs, Hong Kong has the opportunity to significantly enhance its WLB policies and potentially serve as a model for other East Asian economies.

5.4 Recommendations
Drawing from the experiences of the four countries studied and aligning with recent research, we propose the following recommendations for improving WLB policies in Hong Kong:

Develop a Comprehensive WLB Framework: Create a holistic legislative framework that addresses various aspects of WLB, including working hours, leave policies, and flexible work arrangements. This framework should be inspired by Japan's series of policy implementations aimed at creating favorable environments for work and family life balance (Gietel-Basten et al., 2023). It should include clear guidelines for implementation and enforcement across different sectors and organization sizes.

Enhance Gender Equality Measures: Implement robust policies that promote gender equality in the workplace, focusing on equal compensation, work-life balance opportunities, and transparency in career progression. This should include measures to support working parents, such as enhanced parental leave policies and initiatives to encourage shared parental responsibilities. These recommendations align with the categories identified in regional gender equality studies (Sasakawa Peace Foundation, 2019).

Promote Flexible Work Arrangements: Encourage and provide detailed guidelines for flexible working hours, remote work options, and job-sharing arrangements. This should be done with consideration for the unique challenges and opportunities identified in East Asian work cultures (Zheng et al., 2021). Implement pilot programs in government agencies to demonstrate the feasibility and benefits of flexible work arrangements.

Strengthen Enforcement and Monitoring: Establish robust mechanisms to monitor the implementation of WLB policies and ensure compliance across different sectors. This could include regular audits, reporting requirements for companies, and a dedicated government body to oversee WLB policy implementation. These measures address the policy-practice gap identified in research on Asian work-life balance (Zheng et al., 2021).

Launch Public Awareness Campaigns: Develop comprehensive public education initiatives to raise awareness about the importance of work-life balance and challenge traditional work norms. These campaigns should target both employers and employees, highlighting the benefits of WLB for individual well-being, organizational productivity, and societal health.

Foster Cross-Sector Collaboration: Encourage partnerships between government, businesses, and civil society organizations to develop and implement effective WLB strategies. This collaborative approach can help in addressing the complex cultural and organizational barriers identified in regional studies (Zheng et al., 2021; Gietel-Basten et al., 2023). Establish regular forums for stakeholders to share best practices and discuss challenges in implementing WLB policies.

Invest in Research and Data Collection: Allocate resources for ongoing research into the effectiveness of WLB policies in the Hong Kong context. This should include longitudinal studies to track the impact of policies over time, as well as comparative studies with other East Asian countries. This aligns with the need for more region-specific data and analysis highlighted in systematic reviews of Asian work-life balance (Zheng et al., 2021).

Develop Family-Friendly Policies: Implement comprehensive family-friendly policies, including enhanced parental leave, childcare support, and eldercare assistance. These policies should be designed to support diverse family structures and life stages, drawing inspiration from successful models in countries like Japan and Singapore (Gietel-Basten et al., 2023).

Address Mental Health and Well-being: Incorporate mental health considerations into WLB policies, recognizing the interconnection between work stress, personal well-being, and productivity. This could include mandating mental health days, providing access to counseling services, and training managers to recognize and address workplace stress.

Incentivize WLB-Friendly Practices: Develop a system of incentives for organizations that successfully implement WLB policies. This could include tax benefits, public recognition, or preferential treatment in government contracts for companies that demonstrate strong commitment to employee work-life balance.

By implementing these comprehensive recommendations, Hong Kong can work towards creating a more balanced and productive work environment for its workforce. The success of these WLB policies will depend on a concerted effort from government, businesses, and society at large to challenge traditional work norms and create a more sustainable and equitable work culture.

6. Limitations, Conclusion and Future Research
6.1 Limitations
This study, while providing valuable insights into work-life balance policies in East Asia, has certain limitations. The focus on four countries, while allowing for in-depth analysis, may not capture the full diversity of approaches across East Asia. Future research could expand this analysis to include more countries in the region.

Additionally, the study relies primarily on policy documents and secondary data. Future studies could incorporate primary data, such as surveys or interviews with employees and employers, to gain more nuanced insights into the practical implementation and effectiveness of these policies. Lastly, the rapidly changing nature of work, accelerated by technological advancements and global events like the COVID-19 pandemic, suggests a need for ongoing research to capture evolving work-life balance needs and policy responses in East Asian contexts.

6.2 Conclusion and Future Research
This comparative analysis of WLB policies in Hong Kong, Singapore, Japan, and China underscores the complex interplay between legislation, cultural norms, and corporate practices in shaping work-life balance in East Asia. The study reveals that while progress has been made, significant challenges remain, particularly in terms of gender equality, policy implementation, and bridging the gap between policy and practice.

Hong Kong has the opportunity to learn from the experiences of its neighbors and develop a more comprehensive and effective approach to WLB. By addressing the policy gaps identified in

this study and implementing the recommended best practices, Hong Kong can work towards creating a more balanced and productive work environment for its workforce.

Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to assess the long-term impact of WLB policies on employee well-being, productivity, and overall societal health in these East Asian contexts. Additionally, exploring the role of technology in enabling flexible work arrangements and its impact on WLB could provide valuable insights for future policy development.

The success of WLB policies in Hong Kong will depend on a concerted effort from government, businesses, and society at large to challenge traditional work norms and create a more balanced and sustainable work culture. By learning from regional experiences, adapting best practices to its unique context, and addressing the specific challenges identified in this study, Hong Kong has the potential to become a leader in promoting work-life balance in East Asia.

References

Alfarran, A. (2021). The impact of remote work on women's work-life balance and gender-role attitudes in saudi arabia. International Journal of Gender & Women S Studies, 9(2). https://doi.org/10.15640/ijgws.v9n2a2

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99-120. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108

Berik, G., Rodgers, Y., & Zveglich, J. (2003). Does trade promote gender wage equity? evidence from east asia. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.382945

Bhende, P., Mekoth, N., Ingalhalli, V., & Reddy, Y. (2020). Quality of work life and work–life balance. Journal of Human Values, 26(3), 256-265. https://doi.org/10.1177/0971685820939380

Boland, B., De Smet, A., Palter, R., & Sanghvi, A. (2020, March 23). A blueprint for remote working: Lessons from China. McKinsey Digital. https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/a-blueprint-for-remote-working-lessons-from-china

Brough, P., Holt, J., Bauld, R., Biggs, A., & Ryan, C. (2008). The ability of work-life balance policies to influence key social/organizational issues. Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources, 46(3), 261-274. https://doi.org/10.1177/1038411108095758.

Brough, P., Timms, C., Chan, X., Hawkes, A., & Rasmussen, L. (2020). Work–life balance: definitions, causes, and consequences., 473-487. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-31438-5_20

Buba, M. (2024). Effects of work-life balance on employee job satisfaction. eatp. https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.5830

Burri, S. (2020). Miguel de la corte-rodriguez: eu law on maternity and other child-related leaves. impact on gender equality. European Labour Law Journal, 12(1), 105-109. https://doi.org/10.1177/2031952520967676

Cameron, K. S., & Quinn, R. E. (2011). Diagnosing and changing organizational culture: Based on the competing values framework. John Wiley & Sons.

Cegarra-Leiva, D., Sánchez-Vidal, M. E., & Cegarra-Navarro, J. G. (2012). Understanding the link between work life balance practices and organisational outcomes in SMEs: The mediating effect of a supportive culture. Personnel Review, 41(3), 359-379.

Chandra, V. (2012). Work–life balance: Eastern and western perspectives. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 23(5), 1040-1056. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2012.651339

Chen, Q., Chen, M., Lo, C. K. M., Chan, K. L., & Ip, P. (2022). Stress in Balancing Work and Family among Working Parents in Hong Kong: A Population-Based Study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(9), 5576.

Cheng, T., Zhang, B., Guo, J., & Pikhart, H. (2022). Association between work stress and health behaviours in korean and japanese ageing studies: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open, 12(8), e063538. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-063538

Cheng, Y. (2024, February 12). Which Southeast Asian country has the most "balanced" life and work? Singapore ranks best, Philippines at the bottom. The News Lens. https://www.thenewslens.com/article/205347

China Labour Bulletin. (2021, August 18). China's social security system. https://clb.org.hk/en/content/china%E2%80%99s-social-security-system

China Law Translate. (2022, March 3). China's Action Plan Against Human Trafficking (2021-2030). https://www.chinalawtranslate.com/en/countertraffickingplan2021thr2030/

Chiu, W. C., & Ng, C. W. (2020). Work-life balance in Hong Kong: Current challenges and future prospects. In Work-Life Balance in Asia (pp. 89-108). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-27960-7_6

Choi, J. and Lee, M. (2023). The effects of life changes in family on engagement in economic activities among female older adults: a comparative study between china and south korea. Journal of Applied Gerontology, 42(11), 2242-2251. https://doi.org/10.1177/07334648231180846

Chung, H. (2020). Gender, flexibility stigma and the perceived negative consequences of flexible working in the UK. Social Indicators Research, 151(2), 521-545. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-018-2036-7

Chung, H., & van der Lippe, T. (2020). Flexible working, work–life balance, and gender equality: Introduction. Social Indicators Research, 151(2), 365-381. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-018-2025-x

Clark, S. C. (2000). Work/family border theory: A new theory of work/family balance. Human Relations, 53(6), 747-770. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726700536001

Dhivakar, S., Rai, A., Sandhu, H., Das, A., Subedi, R., & Reddy, K. (2023). Occupational stress and burnout among young surgeons: a review. International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences, 11(3), 1050-1055. https://doi.org/10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20230342

Duan, H. and Hicks, D. (2020). New evidence on son preference among immigrant households in the united states. Iza Journal of Development and Migration, 11(1). https://doi.org/10.2478/izajodm-2020-0014

Eshak, M. and Transport, A. (2021). Flexible work arrangements and their impact on the employee performance of egyptian private university employees: (a case study on the arab

academy for science, technology, and maritime transport). International Journal of Social Science and Human Research, 04(10). https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v4-i10-13

Fuadiputra, I. and Novianti, K. (2021). The effect of work autonomy and workload on job satisfaction of female workers in the banking sector: mediating the role of work life balance. The Winners, 21(2). https://doi.org/10.21512/tw.v21i2.6908

Gietel-Basten, S., Matsukura, R., Mu, Z., & Ogawa, N. (2023). Low fertility and fertility policies in the Asia-Pacific region. Population Medicine, 5, 37. https://doi.org/10.18332/popmed/169057

Gourinchas, P. O. (2023, January 30). Global economy to slow further amid signs of resilience and China re-opening. IMF Blog. https://www.imf.org/en/Blogs/Articles/2023/01/30/global-economy-to-slow-further-amid-signs-of-resilience-and-china-re-opening

Greenhaus, J. H., Collins, K. M., & Shaw, J. D. (2003). The relation between work–family balance and quality of life. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 63(3), 510-531.

Gunawan, E. (2024). The effect of work-life balance and compensation on employee performance through job satisfaction as an intervening variable. International Journal of Business Law and Education, 5(1), 749-764. https://doi.org/10.56442/ijble.v5i1.478

Haar, J. M., Russo, M., Suñe, A., & Ollier-Malaterre, A. (2014). Outcomes of work–life balance on job satisfaction, life satisfaction and mental health: A study across seven cultures. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 85(3), 361-373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2014.08.010

Hall, P. A., & Soskice, D. (Eds.). (2001). Varieties of capitalism: The institutional foundations of comparative advantage. Oxford University Press.

Hartley, K., & Jarvis, D. S. L. (2020). Policymaking in a low-trust state: Legitimacy, state capacity, and responses to COVID-19 in Hong Kong. Policy and Society, 39(3), 403-423. https://doi.org/10.1080/14494035.2020.1783791

Hassan, Z., Shuen, C., Bandar, N., & Sabil, S. (2023). The mediating role of chinese values in the satisfaction of work-life balance among chinese bank employees: a case study. Journal of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development, 9(1), 159-175. https://doi.org/10.33736/jcshd.5453.2023

HeySara. (2020). COVID-19 Relief: Government Support Programmes and Schemes for Singapore Companies. https://heysara.sg/grants-relief-support-for-singapore-companies-during-the-covid-19-pandemic/

Ibegbulam, I. and Ejikeme, A. (2021). Perception of work-life balance among married female librarians in university libraries in south-east nigeria. College & Research Libraries, 82(6). https://doi.org/10.5860/crl.82.6.831

Inggamara, A., Pierewan, A., & Ayriza, Y. (2022). Work–life balance and social support: the influence on work engagement in the sixth european working conditions survey. Journal of Employment Counseling, 59(1), 17-26. https://doi.org/10.1002/joec.12175

Inglehart, R., & Welzel, C. (2005). Modernization, cultural change, and democracy: The human development sequence. Cambridge University Press.

Jongruck, P., & Vyas, L. (2024). Work–life balance among higher-education professionals in Hong Kong and Thailand during the COVID-19 pandemic. Policy Design and Practice, 7(3), 265–291. https://doi.org/10.1080/25741292.2024.2375320

Kalliath, T. and Brough, P. (2008). Work–life balance: a review of the meaning of the balance construct. Journal of Management & Organization, 14(3), 323-327. https://doi.org/10.5172/jmo.837.14.3.323

Kanten, P. (2014). Family friendly policies in organizations and their effects on work-life balance, work alienation and life satisfaction. International Journal of Business & Technology, 2(2), 18-30. https://doi.org/10.33107/ijbte.2014.2.2.04

Kelliher, C., Richardson, J., & Boiarintseva, G. (2019). All of work? All of life? Reconceptualising work-life balance for the 21st century. Human Resource Management Journal, 29(2), 97-112.

Kisi. (2022). Work-Life Balance: Best Cities Worldwide in 2022. https://www.getkisi.com/work-life-balance-2022

Korompot, E. (2023). The effect of work from home and work-life balance on turnover intention mediated by job satisfaction. Journal of Applied Management Research, 3(1), 33-41. https://doi.org/10.36441/jamr.v3i1.1347

Kumar, K. and Velmurugan, R. (2018). A study on the work life balance of generation y information technology (it) employees in cochin. International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7(3.6), 142. https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i3.6.14958

Le, H., Newman, A., Menzies, J., Zheng, C., & Fermelis, J. (2020). Work–life balance in Asia: A systematic review. Human Resource Management Review, 30(4), 100766.

Lestari, D. (2021). Work life balance and job satisfaction of lecturer in faculty of economics and business unjani. International Journal of Science Technology & Management, 2(5), 1491-1504. https://doi.org/10.46729/ijstm.v2i5.315

Lewis, J. and Campbell, M. (2008). What's in a name? 'work and family' or 'work and life' balance policies in the uk since 1997 and the implications for the pursuit of gender equality. Social Policy and Administration, 42(5), 524-541. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9515.2008.00615.x

Lewis, S., Gambles, R., & Rapoport, R. (2007). The constraints of a 'work–life balance' approach: An international perspective. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 18(3), 360-373.

Li, H., & Li, X. (2023). The COVID-19 pandemic's impact on the Chinese economy. China Currents, 22(1). https://www.chinacenter.net/2023/china-currents/22-1/the-covid-19-pandemics-impact-on-the-chinese-economy/

Li, Q., Cheok, M. Y., & Chan, Y. F. B. (2024). The role of work-life balance in enhancing employee loyalty. Advances in Cross-Cultural Communication and Management, 6(1), 93-114. https://doi.org/10.23977/acccm.2024.060106

Lim, H. (2022). East asian mothers' attitudes towards their children's education in england: dialectics of east asian and english education. Journal of Family Studies, 29(4), 1722-1743. https://doi.org/10.1080/13229400.2022.2076605

Limatujuh, E. (2023). Worklife balance: social dynamics of work life. Return Study of Management Economic and Bussines, 2(6), 559-567. https://doi.org/10.57096/return.v2i06.107

Mahendra, D. (2024). Flexi-time as a predictor of employee performance in public hospital sector: mediating role of work-life balance and job satisfaction. International Journal of

Research in Business and Social Science (2147-4478), 13(3), 194-204. https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v13i3.3277

Martínez-Tola, E. and Barredo, M. (2023). Strategies and values towards co-responsibility in care in european countries. Revista De Economía Mundial, (63), 45-65. https://doi.org/10.33776/rem.vi63.7077

Ministry of Foreign Affairs. (2018). Towards a Sustainable and Resilient Singapore. https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/19439Singapores_Voluntary_National_Review_Report_v2.pdf

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. (2015). The Act on Advancement of Measures to Support Raising Next-Generation Children. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/english/policy/children/children-childrearing/dl/150407-01.pdf

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. (2019). Work Style Reform Law. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/english/policy/employ-labour/labour-standards/dl/201904kizyun.pdf

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. (n.d.-a). The Child Care and Family Care Leave Law. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/english/policy/affairs/dl/05.pdf

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. (n.d.-b). Act on Promotion of Women's Participation and Advancement in the Workplace. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/english/policy/employ-labour/equal-employment/

Ministry of Manpower. (2017). Tripartite Standard on Flexible Work Arrangements launched. https://www.mom.gov.sg/newsroom/press-releases/2017/1006-tripartite-standard-on-flexible-work-arrangements-launched

Ministry of Manpower. (2023a). Work-Life Grant (WLG) for flexible work arrangements. https://www.mom.gov.sg/employment-practices/good-work-practices/work-life-grant

Ministry of Manpower. (2023b). Tripartite Advisory on Mental Health and Well-Being at Workplaces. https://www.mom.gov.sg/-/media/mom/documents/covid-19/advisories/tripartite-advisory-on-mental-well-being-at-workplaces.pdf

Mohamed, H. and Zaki, H. (2017). Investigating the relationship between work- life balance and employee engagement among flight attendants. International Journal of Heritage Tourism and Hospitality, 11(1), 205-220. https://doi.org/10.21608/ijhth.2017.30192

Munyeka, W. and Maharaj, A. (2023). Balancing the scales: an investigation of work-life balance among women in a south african information and communications telecommunications organization. Journal of Human Resource Management – Hr Advances and Developments, 2023(1), 23-42. https://doi.org/10.46287/oszy8255

Nemoto, K. (2013). Long working hours and the corporate gender divide in Japan. Gender, Work & Organization, 20(5), 512-527. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0432.2012.00599.x

North, S., & Morioka, R. (2016). Hope found in lives lost: Karoshi and the pursuit of worker rights in Japan. Contemporary Japan, 28(1), 59-80. https://doi.org/10.1515/cj-2016-0004

OECD (2023). Work-Life Balance. OECD Better Life Index. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. https://www.oecdbetterlifeindex.org/topics/work-life-balance/

Okolie, U., Mukoro, A., & Otite, A. (2023). Work-life balance policy and practice in nigeria. Journal of Social Studies (Jss), 19(1), 63-78. https://doi.org/10.21831/jss.v19i1.58628

Ono, H. (2022, November 24). Japan must reform its inflexible work culture. East Asia Forum.

Opoku, F., Kwao, I., & Johnson, A. (2023). Human resource policies and work–life balance in higher education: employee engagement as mediator. Sa Journal of Human Resource Management, 21. https://doi.org/10.4102/sajhrm.v21i0.1939

Osawa, M., & Kingston, J. (2015). Risk and consequences: The changing Japanese employment paradigm. In F. Baldwin & A. Allison (Eds.), Japan: The Precarious Future (pp. 58-86). New York University Press.

Park, H. (2021). Introduction to the special collection on family changes and inequality in east asia. Demographic Research, 44, 979-992. https://doi.org/10.4054/demres.2021.44.40

Parker, K., & Horowitz, J. M. (2022). Majority of workers who quit a job in 2021 cite low pay, no opportunities for advancement, feeling disrespected. Pew Research Center. https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2022/03/09/majority-of-workers-who-quit-a-job-in-2021-cite-low-pay-no-opportunities-for-advancement-feeling-disrespected/

Rahman, R., Mustaffa, W., & Wahid, H. (2018). Organizational policies and supports for dual-career couples in malaysia. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 8(8). https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v8-i8/4476

Raja, S. and Stein, S. (2014). Work–life balance: history, costs, and budgeting for balance. Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery, 27(02), 071-074. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1376172

Russo, M., Shteigman, A., & Carmeli, A. (2015). Workplace and family support and work–life balance: Implications for individual psychological availability and energy at work. The Journal of Positive Psychology, 11(2), 173-188. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/271832541_Workplace_and_Family_Support_and_Work-Life_Balance_Implications_for_Individual_Psychological_Availability_and_Energy_at_Work

Sasakawa Peace Foundation. (2019, March 22). Gender Equality in Japan, Hong Kong & Singapore. https://www.spf.org/en/gender/publications/20190322.html

Sato, K., Kuroda, S., & Owan, H. (2020). Mental health effects of long work hours, night and weekend work, and short rest periods. Social Science & Medicine, 246, 112774.

Sharma, B. and Nidhi, -. (2023). The impact of flexible work arrangements on work-life balance. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 5(3). https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2023.v05i03.3144

Shylaja, P. and Prasad, C. (2017). Emotional intelligence and work life balance.. Iosr Journal of Business and Management, 19(05), 18-21. https://doi.org/10.9790/487x-1905051821

Singapore National Employers Federation. (2023). Tripartite Advisory on Mental Health and Well-Being at Workplaces. https://snef.org.sg/snef_news/tripartite-advisory-on-mental-health-and-well-being-at-workplaces/

Sirgy, M. J., & Lee, D. J. (2018). Work-life balance: An integrative review. Applied Research in Quality of Life, 13(1), 229-254.

Straughan, P. T., & Tadai, M. (2016). Addressing the implementation gap: An integrated approach to identifying barriers and facilitators to flexi work arrangements in Singapore. Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources, 54(4), 422-444. https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7941.12111

Sukarman, P. (2022). The role of job satisfaction as a mediation variable on the influence of work life balance, islamic work ethics and burnout on turnover intention. jiem, 2(2), 118-131. https://doi.org/10.18326/jiem.v2i2.118-131

Tarquinio, K. (2016). Work–life balance? it is not about balance, but priorities. Frontiers in Pediatrics, 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2016.00006

The Japan Institute for Labour Policy and Training. (2021). MHLW's Guidelines for Promoting and Establishing High-quality Telework. Japan Labor Issues, 5(34). https://www.jil.go.jp/english/jli/documents/2021/034-01.pdf

Vyas, L. (2022). "New normal" at work in a post-COVID world: work–life balance and labor markets. Policy and Society, 41(1), 155-167. https://doi.org/10.1093/polsoc/puab011

Whiting, G. (2024). Work-Life Balance Statistics for 2024: A Global Perspective. Hubstaff. https://hubstaff.com/blog/work-life-balance-statistics/

World Economic Forum. (2021). Global Gender Gap Report 2021. https://www.weforum.org/reports/global-gender-gap-report-2021

Xiao, Y., & Cooke, F. L. (2012). Work–life balance in China? Social policy, employer strategy and individual coping mechanisms. Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources, 50(1), 6-22. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7941.2011.00005.x

Yadav, L. (2024). Impact on work life balance on employee wellbeing. Int Res J Adv Engg Mgt, 2(05), 1651-1654. https://doi.org/10.47392/irjaem.2024.0233

Yamada, A. (2024). Gender inequality in the workplace in japan. International Journal of Sociology, 8(2), 36-46. https://doi.org/10.47604/ijs.2592

Yoon, S. and Park, N. (2023). Development of work-life/family policy and gendered division of childcare responsibility: the case of south korea. International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy, 44(1/2), 59-75. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijssp-12-2022-0325

Yu, W. H. (2015). Women and employment in Taiwan. Brookings Institution. https://www.brookings.edu/articles/women-and-employment-in-taiwan/

Yu, Y., Lau, M. M. C., & Lau, J. T. F. (2022). Working from home and positive/negative experiences due to social distancing as interacting factors of depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic in a Chinese general population. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 57(6), 1235–1246. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-021-02179-6

Zheng, C., Kashi, K., Fan, D., Molineux, J., & Ee, M. S. (2021). Impact of individual coping strategies and organisational work–life balance programmes on Australian employee well-being. The International Journal of Human Resource Management, 32(1), 31-55.