

A Historical Analysis of Accounting Standardization Worldwide

Amos Adejare Aderibigbe^{1*}, Tunji Trimisiu Siyanbola², Olatunde Omotayo Abiodun³

¹Department of Accounting, Crescent University, Abeokuta, Nigeria

²Department of Accounting, Crescent University, Abeokuta, Nigeria

³Department of Accounting, Crescent University, Abeokuta, Nigeria

doi.org/10.51505/IJEBMR.2024.8510

URL: <https://doi.org/10.51505/IJEBMR.2024.8510>

Received: Apr 21, 2024

Accepted: Apr 29, 2024

Online Published: May 22, 2024

Abstract

This study digs into the historical development of accounting standards worldwide, investigating the factors shaping the current landscape. The objectives of the study include comparative analysis across regions, identifying the implementation challenges and examining the role of regulatory bodies. It examines the impact of international transactions on the convergence or divergence of standards. The study is exploratory and therefore uses secondary sources such as books, journals, magazines, and publications of professional and governmental bodies, to investigate the historical developments of accounting standardization, concentrating on significant milestones, challenges, and opportunities in the development of financial reporting practices. It explores early developments in response to economic crises through a conceptual framework, the formation of international accounting standards, comparative analysis of International Financial Reporting Standards and the United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, national and cultural influences on standardization and technological advances. Theoretical views such as institutional, political economy globalization, diffusion of innovations, and agency theories provide insights into the driving forces behind accounting standardization. The study expects a future characterized by dynamic transformation and innovation, occasioned through technological development and new trends leading to a healthy, agile, transparent, and socially responsible global financial reporting structure. This study offers insights for academics, educators, and policymakers, informing discourse on regulatory effectiveness and the effect on global financial reporting practices. The study offers insights into the history, challenges, and future of accounting standardization, emphasizing efforts to improve transparency and global uniformity while addressing cultural and economic complexities.

Keywords: Accounting, convergence, divergence, financial reports, standardization

1. Introduction

1.1 Background to the Study

The background of accounting standardization serves as a historical complex and dynamic economic, cultural, and regulatory influence across the globe. History plays a crucial by offering a storehouse of information about people and society thus encouraging social relationships (Puyou & Quattrone, 2018). This research study looks into the annals of accounting history, meticulously revealing the evolution and global shades of accounting standardization. The

financial world has become increasingly interconnected, and the need for cohesive and universally accepted accounting standards has been intensified.

This study aims to probe into the historical curves of accounting standardization worldwide, exploring key milestones, influential actors, and the socio-economic forces that have moulded the intricate web of global financial reporting practices. Even presently, accounting records serve as a source of information further than financial facts, and this brings about affinity in society (Yu et al., 2018). From the aftermath of significant historical events to the establishment of international bodies striving for convergence, this research seeks to provide a distinct understanding of how accounting standards have grown over time and the continuing influence they have had on financial transparency and comparability across countries. In doing this, it aims to illuminate the historical foundations that have set the stage for the contemporary landscape of accounting standardization, shedding light on the challenges faced, the lessons learned, and the courses that have been charted to foster a more harmonized global financial reporting structure.

The contemporary landscape of accounting standardization is marked by a dynamic interaction of factors. Technological advancements, such as blockchain, artificial intelligence, and big data, have appeared as potential game-changers, offering both opportunities and challenges in the hunt for globally consistent reporting practices (Brown et al., 2014). Moreover, attention has been shifted to Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations, adding a layer of complexity to the standard-setting landscape.

Against this background, this study embarks on a full examination of the history and future of accounting standardization. Tracing the historical milestones, challenges and opportunities will add to the understanding of the forces determining the global financial reporting system. Moreover, this exploratory study seeks to give awareness into the future of standardization of accounting, offering valuable viewpoints for policymakers, standard-setting bodies, researchers and practitioners through examination of contemporary trends and emerging issues.

1.2 The Objective of the Study

This study sought to explore the historical development of accounting standards globally to understand the factors, events, and influences that have moulded the current background and this involves tracing the origins of different accounting principles and standards. The objectives are to conduct a comparative study of accounting standardization across various regions and countries, highlighting similarities and differences. To identify challenges and controversies that have arisen during the implementation and enforcement of accounting standards, examining the role of regulatory bodies, both nationally and internationally. To investigate how global financial transactions and the integration of financial markets have influenced the convergence or divergence of accounting standards.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Conceptual Review

2.1.1 Early developments

The incorporation of businesses by registration was made possible in the United Kingdom (U.K.) by the Joint Stock Companies Act of 1844. This act specified that the accounts of the companies

should be prepared to reflect the true and fair position of the balance sheet, and this was to be submitted for the shareholders' meetings with a copy to the registrar of joint stock companies (Hein, 1963). However, the contents of the balance sheet were not required to be drawn in any format nor was there any requirement for the presentation of the income statements. Companies were required to appoint auditors who were expected to present audit reports for the annual general meetings of the companies (Harris, 2013).

In the United Kingdom, the Institution of Accountants based in Scotland formed as the first professional accounting organization in 1853 (Matthews et al., 1997). Its primary focus was on Scotland and it performed a crucial role in shaping the practices of accounting. The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales (ICAEW) formed in 1880 was the second professional organization and it became a prominent professional body in the UK (Matthews et al., 1997). It gradually assumed a role in guiding accounting practices and promoting professional standards (Parker, 1990). In the 19th and 20th centuries, various Companies Acts were passed which introduced legal requirements for financial reporting by companies. These Acts influence majorly, the principles of accounting development and disclosure practices. There was tremendous growth in the number of professional accounting organizations such as the Association of Certified and Corporate Accountants (ACCA) in 1904 and the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants (CIMA) in 1919 (Matthews, 1998). The British Accounting Association (BACA) was formed in 1947 primarily as an academic organization. It played a role in discussions about accounting principles, providing a forum for professionals and academics to exchange ideas. The Accounting Standards Committee (ASC) was established in 1970 in the U.K. (Rutherford, 2007). It was formed as an independent body responsible for setting standards of accounting that companies operating in the UK were required to follow. The committee was created in response to the need for financial reporting practices across different organizations to be transparent and consistent. According to Rutherford (2007), the ASC played a crucial role in developing and issuing Statements of Standard Accounting Practice (SSAPs), which provided guidelines for various accounting principles and practices. These standards aimed at ensuring the accuracy of financial statements in reflecting the true and fair positions of the companies' performances, thus enhancing transparency and comparability for stakeholders. There was no centralized standard-setting body, meaning that accounting principles were largely influenced by professional bodies, legal requirements, and evolving business practices. Global efforts at harmonizing accounting standards gained momentum immediately after the International Accounting Standards Committee (IASC) was formed in 1973 (Borger, 2002; O'Regan, 2015).

Just like in the United Kingdom, the chronicle of standardization of accounting in the U.S. before 1973 reflects a gradual evolution shaped by professional bodies and regulatory developments. In 1887, the American Institute of Accountants (AIA) was formed to serve as a forerunner to the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), established in 1916. While it was not primarily formed to set standards, the AIA contributed to accounting professionalization as well as the development of ethical standards (Parker, 1990). The AICPA turned out to be the leading accounting professional body in the U.S. as it helped in developing accounting as well as auditing standards through its committees.

Rappoport and White (1994) accorded the 1929 crash in stock markets, resulting in a great depression to the great need for standardized financial reporting practices. The disruptive economic landscape exposed the inadequacies of different and incompatible accounting approaches adopted by businesses, making it difficult for market stakeholders, including regulators to assess companies' financial health. The United States government, in response to this crisis, took an important and giant step toward financial transparency by creating the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in 1934. According to Flesher and Previts (2020), the American Accounting Association published a committee report setting the objectives of the SEC as to how financial statements format should be.

The primary objective of the SEC was to restore investors' confidence and in addition, mitigate the risk of another financial tragedy by standardizing accounting practices for publicly traded companies. Carnegie and Napier (2010) evaluated the role of the SEC in the U.S., investigating how the formation of regulatory bodies was an important step in standardizing financial reporting. This was the start of a new era in accounting, where regulations were set in place to ensure that financial information was not only accurate but also presented in a consistent format, thereby laying the foundation for the formation of the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). A regulatory framework emerged with the establishment of the SEC, seeking to govern the disclosure and reporting obligations of companies, ensuring a more uniform and understandable financial reporting format. The SEC's authority extended beyond mere oversight, as it actively engaged in shaping accounting standards, setting a precedent for regulatory bodies worldwide.

The Committee on Accounting Procedure (CAP) was established in 1939 by AICPA as the pioneer organization to set standards for accounting in the U.S. It issued 51 Accounting Research Bulletins (ARBs) to provide guidance on accounting principles (Fisher, 1998). The SEC assumed a regulatory role in financial reporting for all companies traded in the financial markets. The SEC had the authority to prescribe principles of accounts and worked closely with the AICPA (Keller & Gehlmann, 1988). In response to criticism of the CAP's output, the body was replaced with the Accounting Principles Board (APB) in 1959. The APB issued 31 opinions, but it faced challenges due to its structure and was dissolved in 1973. As a result of the dissolution of APB in 1973, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) was formed as an independent body. The FASB became the primary standard-setting organization in the United States, focusing on developing GAAP (Ampofo & Sellani, 2005).

Standardization of Accounting in Nigeria began before the independence of October 1960 when the Association of Accountants of Nigeria (AAN) was established. The body was translated into the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Nigeria (ICAN) in 1965, under Act 15 of 1965. ICAN was saddled with the responsibility of regulating the accounting profession in Nigeria. It played a major role in the establishment of the Nigerian Accounting Standards Board (NASB) in 1982. Legal framework was provided for the Board by an Act in 2003 (Josiah, et al. 2013). The board has issued 31 Statements of Accounting Standards (SAS). NASB was empowered under the Act to develop, publish, and update Statements of Accounting Standards (SAS) which are to be adopted by companies in Nigeria for the preparation of their financial statements while promoting and enforcing compliance with the standards (Olamide & Ajibade, 2016).

This era of early developments in accounting standards represents a pivotal moment in financial history, demonstrating a fundamental shift towards standardized reporting practices that aimed to restore faith in the financial markets and establish a foundation for financial information transparency and comparability on a national scale.

2.1.2 The establishment of international accounting standards

The establishment of international accounting standards (IAS) marked a significant transition towards harmonizing financial reporting practices across borders. In 1973, as a result of an increasingly globalized economy, the International Accounting Standards Committee (IASC) was formed for the purpose of producing a unified set of principles of accounts that will have global acceptance. Accounting standard-setting bodies from various countries were brought together by IASC, promoting international collaboration and dialogue (Ihenyen & Robert, 2023). The collaborative effort was aimed at addressing the challenges emanating from the various accounting standards prevailing worldwide then, thereby facilitating a continuous exchange of financial information and strengthening the comparability of financial reports globally.

IASC metamorphosed into the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) in 2001 leading to the development of international accounting standards (IAS). This transformation of IASC into IASB led to a global commitment to minimize discrepancies in the accounting practices of different nations to foster consistency and comparability in financial reporting practices.

IASB being an autonomous organization for global accounting standard setting, assisted in strengthening the commitment to developing high-quality, globally recognized accounting standards known as International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The U.S. SEC recommended IAS for adoption for cross-border listing in the U.S. in 2001 (Madan, 2002). The FASB established in the U.S. in 1973 focused primarily on developing generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

The IFRS became a benchmark for financial reporting in many countries, with numerous nations choosing to adopt or converge with these standards (Ihenyen & Robert, 2023). Over 140 countries have now adopted IFRS and these cut across many continents such as Africa, Europe, Asia, and Australia (Ajmal, H., 2023). The formation of international accounting standards reflects a concerted effort to overcome national boundaries and create a general language for financial reporting, fostering transparency and consistency in financial statements across the global business landscape.

In 2008, there was a crisis in the global financial markets and this served as a watershed moment, highlighting the significance of having financial reporting standards that are robust. According to Trimble (2017), regulatory responses were swift, reinforcing the need for transparency and accountability in financial reporting to prevent a recurrence of systemic failures. This period also helped fuel discussions on the desirability of coming up with a unique set of internationally accepted high-quality standards of accounting. Sunder (2010) provided a distinct perspective on the obstacles to convergence, arguing that cultural, legal, and institutional differences are significant impediments to achieving a uniform global accounting framework.

2.1.3 Comparative analysis of IFRS and GAAP

The comparative analysis of IFRS and GAAP reveals both converging and diverging elements in these two major accounting frameworks. The IFRS and GAAP have the common goal of setting transparent and relevant financial statements, but differences arise in their approach to achieving this objective. One notable distinction lies in the principles versus the rules-based nature of both systems.

IFRS is generally regarded as principles-based, offering a conceptual framework, the application of which allows more flexibility. In contrast, GAAP tends to be rules-based, providing detailed guidelines and specific criteria for a wide range of accounting scenarios. This dichotomy can lead to variations in interpretation and application, affecting the consistency of financial reporting across jurisdictions. Several factors mould a national accounting system and these include legal, fiscal, cultural, political, and economic factors as well as sources of capital, resulting in variation among nations (Aguilera & Jackson, 2010).

Another area of divergence between IFRS and GAAP is evident in their treatment of certain accounting elements. For instance, the assessment of fair value and the recognition of contingent liabilities may differ between the two frameworks, introducing complexities for multinational companies navigating dual reporting standards. While convergence efforts have aimed to align these standards over the years, variations persist, and companies operating in multiple jurisdictions often find themselves managing the challenges of reconciling financial statements prepared under IFRS and GAAP. Despite these disparities, the ongoing convergence initiatives between the IASB and FASB seek to reduce differences and enhance the consistency of global financial reporting standards (Diaconu, 2007).

There is a need for harmonization of standards as the financial markets in countries that are heavily regulated are threatened with market share losses; and also requiring multinational companies to draw financial statements in the acceptable standards of all the countries they operate which is cumbersome (Nobes & Parker, 1991). Harmonization would make investors all over the globe understand the financial reports of foreign countries and this will assist them in forming their judgments and decisions on investment (Khan, 2018). Economic and cultural differences could still make financial reports prepared using the same accounting principle difficult to interpret (Diaconu, 2009). Barth et al. (2012) opined that there has been increased accounting comparability globally as a result of compulsory IFRS adoption and enriched international accounting regulations organization.

Lindahl and Schädewitz (2009) conducted a study to investigate IFRS and GAAP from 2004 to 2006 to find out how IASB and FASB have been able to decrease the variances in the Accounting Standards and Practices of the two boards and discovered that the variances were greatly reduced. Several efforts were made to reduce variations in accounting standards in most countries as from 1990 with the United States, United Kingdom and Canada coming up with a plan to have joint standards. The audit firms in these countries also collaborated for a consistent standard for audit practices (Land & Lang, 2002). The SEC does not relent in its efforts to encourage the convergence of IFRS and GAAP.

2.1.4 National and cultural influences on standardization

National and cultural influences play a pivotal role in shaping the adoption and implementation of accounting standards across diverse regions. The convergence of IFRS has encountered unique challenges as nations grapple with the intersection of global standards and local customs. In many instances, cultural differences impact the interpretation and application of accounting principles. Societal values, legal traditions, and historical contexts contribute to variations in how financial information is perceived and reported (Saudagaran & Meek, 1997).

The legal and regulatory frameworks of each country reflect its historical and institutional context, adding another layer of complexity to standardization efforts. The influence of national regulatory bodies and their enforcement mechanisms shapes the adoption and adherence to accounting standards. Some countries may exhibit a greater inclination towards rules-based systems, while others lean towards principles-based approaches. The varied and country-specific accounting methods in Europe came into being as a result of differential legal, political and economic systems (Soderstrom & Sun, 2007). Moreover, economic structures, impact both the practicality and feasibility of implementing certain accounting standards. Nurunnabi (2015) stated that the specific national and cultural influences that can drive national differences are political and religious dominance, class structures, as well as educational systems. As a result of this, understanding the national and cultural underpinnings becomes imperative for the successful implementation and acceptance of accounting standards globally, contributing to the broader dialogue on the harmonization of financial reporting practices worldwide.

The European Commission (EC) tried to harmonize accounting methods since the 1970s with its directives aimed at minimizing the differences in accounting standards to make comparison of financial reports prepared in different countries easy (Ortega, 2017).

2.1.5 Technological advances and future trends

The study casts a forward-looking gaze, delving into the transformative impact of technology in the area of accounting standardization. As the digital era unfolds, technological advancements, such as blockchain, artificial intelligence as well as big data analytics, are set to change the system financial data is processed, reported and analyzed. Blockchain technology, with its decentralized and secure ledger system, holds the potential to enhance transparency and reduce the risk of fraudulent financial activities (Brown et al., 2014). The blockchain can reshape the very foundations of accounting, introducing a paradigm shift in the verification and recording of financial transactions, thereby influencing the standard-setting process.

Rezaee and Tuo (2019) contributed to the literature by analyzing the challenges and opportunities given by technology in the context of standardization in accounting. The study explored the integration of artificial intelligence into the standardization process, considering how these technologies can streamline compliance and reporting procedures. Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms have emerged as powerful tools in automating and performing routine accounting tasks, thereby leaving professionals to concentrate on complex issues and making strategic decisions. Moreover, big data analytics was examined for

its capacity to process vast volumes of financial data, unveiling patterns and trends that were once elusive. The study anticipated the implications of these technological advances in converging IFRS and GAAP, predicting a future where the standardization process is not only more efficient but would be more responsive to the evolving nature of the global business environment.

2.1.6 Challenges and opportunities

Navigating the landscape of accounting standardization presents a myriad of challenges and opportunities for both practitioners and policymakers. One of the central challenges lies in achieving full global convergence, as divergent economic structures, legal systems, and cultural nuances among nations impede the development of a universally accepted accounting framework (Schultz & Lopez, 2001). The continual coexistence of IFRS and GAAP shows that complexity in aligning different account standards poses challenges to multinational corporations in reconciling their financial statements. Furthermore, geopolitical factors and regional variations in adoption timelines further contribute to the intricate puzzle of standardization, requiring a delicate balance between uniformity and flexibility. Sunder (2010) provided a distinct perspective on the obstacles to convergence, arguing that cultural, legal, and institutional differences are significant impediments to achieving a uniform global accounting framework.

However, within these challenges lie opportunities for innovation and progress. The rapid advancement of technology, through the emergence of blockchain, artificial intelligence, and big data analytics, presents an unprecedented opportunity to make financial reporting more efficient and accurate. The study explored how these technological tools can streamline compliance procedures, reduce the risk of errors, and provide stakeholders with real-time insights. Attention has been shifted to ESG considerations and this has introduced a new dimension to accounting standards, presenting an opportunity to incorporate sustainability metrics into the wider framework (Rezaee & Tuo, 2019). The shift towards ESG reporting not only responds to the growing demand for transparent and responsible business practices but also promotes a more inclusive understanding of the long-term value creation of a company. Thus, the challenges in accounting standardization become catalysts in transformative opportunities, prompting the industry to adjust and innovate in the face of a changing global financial framework.

2.1.7 Regulatory bodies and their role in standardization

The role played by regulatory bodies is fundamental in the formation of accounting standards as they oversee how accounting practices are standardized, acting also as the custodians of financial transparency and accountability both nationally and internationally (Trimble, 2017). In individual countries, regulatory bodies exert considerable influence in developing and enforcing accounting standards; examples are the SEC and the Financial Conduct Authority in the United States and the United Kingdom respectively. Trimble (2017), opined that the primary role of regulatory bodies centres on protecting investors' interests, ensuring the integrity of the financial markets, and maintaining public trust by developing guidelines that regulate corporate financial reporting. The regulatory bodies contribute to the development and maintenance of standards through the enactment of rules and regulations that prescribe the format companies should follow

in the disclosure of items and preparation of their financial statements, creating a framework that promotes consistency and comparability.

The International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) and the International Organization of Securities Commission (IOSCO) have been collaborating to achieve harmonization in accounting practices (Barbu & Baker, 2010). These international regulatory bodies work in agreement with organizations that are responsible for setting standards, such as the IASB to develop universally recognized standards like the IFRS. According to Mamić-Sačer (2015), IFRS now stands as a globally recognized established set of standards in accounting. The international collaborations and regulatory frameworks between IOSCO and IFAC influence the convergence of various accounting standards, striving to form seamless standardized global financial reporting practices (Mamić-Sačer, 2015). Regulatory bodies, through their oversight and standard-setting efforts, thus contribute significantly to the establishment of a robust and consistent financial reporting environment that transcends national boundaries. Countries, where they converge to IFRS, are required to set up a Financial Reporting Council to monitor the enforcement of the standards. In Nigeria, NASB transformed into FRCN in compliance with this golden rule.

2.1.8 Stakeholder perspectives and influence on standardization

One major role of accounting standards is the uniformity that they bring to financial reporting by ensuring consistency and comparability in financial reports of entities. This makes the information presented in the financial reports useful to various stakeholders such as employees, stakeholders, creditors, managers, investors, suppliers, competitors, financial analysts, regulatory bodies, financial market operators, and society. As a result of dramatic changes in reporting climate for social accountability purposes it is envisioned that corporate bodies show interest in the sustainability of the environment in which they operate and promote societal accountability (Hoogerbrugge et al., 2023).

These initiatives have resulted in more firms reporting the impacts of their operations and decisions on the environment in which they operate (Harrison & van der Laan Smith, 2015). Roberts and Kurtenbach (1992) examined lobbying activities in the public sector before the newly formed Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and described the broad groups as; accountants, auditors, users, and other interested parties that participate in the process of setting accounting standards.

The study was optimistic for a future full of dynamic transformation and innovation in the area of accounting standardization, driven by emerging trends that give assurance of reshaping the landscape. Technologies such as AI, blockchain, and big data analytics that streamline financial reporting, enhance data accuracy, provide real-time insights and usher in an era of unprecedented efficiency are now taking centre stage. This is expected to lead to technological evolution. Moreover, the growing emphasis on ESG considerations emerges as a crucial trend, reflecting a societal shift toward responsible and sustainable business practices. It was contended that the accounting profession should consider developing globally accepted accounting principles and standards in reporting financial information that is capable of serving a wider group of

stakeholders rather than just shareholders and creditors. The study hoped for a future where companies are assessed beyond financial performance, wherein the assessment will be based on their contributions to environmental and social goals. The study visualized a future where technological advancements and a broader emphasis on sustainability would converge to form a more active, transparent, and socially responsible global financial reporting framework.

2.2 Theoretical Review

2.2.1 Institutional theory

Institutional theory postulates that accounting standards are designed by formal and informal institutions. Formal institutions comprise regulatory bodies such as the IASB and informal institutions comprise cultural norms and professional practices. The institutions exert pressures and even quest for legitimacy which invariably form the basis upon which the historical evolution of accounting standards can be analyzed. For example, the need to adapt to prevailing institutional norms and gain acceptance within the global financial community inspires the establishment, and changes in standard-setting bodies (Guerreiro et al., 2021).

2.2.2 Political economy theory

Political economy theory relates to the complex interplay between economic structures, political processes, and societal outcomes. Political forces perform an essential function in forming the regulatory basis. Governments, interest groups, and international bodies often exert influences on the process of standard setting to reflect the political economy of the time (Maggi & Rodriguez-Clare, 2007). Assessing historical developments through political economy theory will bring about a distinct understanding of how political considerations have influenced the adoption and modification of accounting standards over time.

2.2.3 Globalization theory

Globalization theory provides a theoretical framework for understanding how economies and societies interconnect globally. Accounting standardization is a response to the need for consistent reporting in the face of increasing global integration. The historical analysis can explore how globalization, through the expansion of multinational corporations and internationalization of the financial markets, pushed for IFRS to facilitate cross-border transactions and enhance financial transparency globally (Dumitrescu & Vinerean, 2010).

2.2.4 Diffusion of innovations theory

The diffusion of innovations theory elucidates how new ideas spread through social systems. This theory helps us understand the adoption and dissemination of accounting standards across different countries. Historical analysis employing this theory would delve into how specific accounting standards, practices, or technologies gained acceptance globally, influencing standardization efforts in diverse regions over time (Benhayoun & Zejjari, 2022). The adoption of IFRS worldwide arose from the economic benefits originating from its adoption. Such benefits include; the development of financial markets, direct investments, and quality of financial reports among others (Dayyala et al., 2020).

2.2.5 Agency theory

Agency theory, entrenched in the principal-agent relationship, explores how diverse interests are managed between shareholders (principals) and management (agents). Accounting standards can be seen as mechanisms to mitigate agency problems by enhancing transparency and accountability (Wagenhofer, 2015). The analysis through agency theory helps to trace how changes in accounting standards were driven by the need to align the interests of various stakeholders and address informational asymmetry.

2.2.6 Theoretical framework

This study is underpinned by institutional and globalization theories. The institutional theory believes that accounting standards come as technical guidelines that are embedded in norms, cultural values, and institutional structures (Carpenter, et al, 2001). The institutions exert influence and power to enforce the standards established by them (Dillard et al., 2004), such as IASB and this is exactly the case in the efforts to harmonize standards of accounting and auditing practices across the globe. The theory has emphasized path dependency by indicating the way decisions of the past and institutional alignments continue to form the present process of setting accounting practices and standards.

Also underpinning this study is the globalization theory, which posits that the spreading of standards of accounting is due to the interconnection of societies, economies, and cultures globally (Chand & White, 2007) and is good for analyzing historical accounting standards adoption. Thus, the theory sheds light on the dynamism of power in international interactions, showing how some powerful countries and institutions fashioned the establishment and adoption of accounting standards to their advantage (Nolke, 2005). The global interconnection of economies and societies accounts for the diffusion of the standards into many countries (Chand & White, 2007) and this has helped in the adoption of accounting standards worldwide.

3. Methodology

This study employed an exploratory research method based on a literature review. The method used secondary sources in gathering, recording, and processing data. This study traced the origin, formation, development, and adoption of accounting standards by using journals in accounting, business history, finance, and other published scholarly articles that analyzed historical aspects of accounting standardization. Historical books on the development of accounting standards, reports, and publications of standard-setting organizations were also consulted.

4. Relevance to Academic

A comprehensive historical analysis of accounting standardization worldwide holds profound relevance within academic circles. This study examined the distinct evolution of accounting standards over time, unraveling the intricacies that have shaped their development on a global scale. Researchers can scrutinize the factors driving changes in regulations, offering a distinct understanding of the motivations behind standard-setting processes. Comparative studies across different regions and countries become feasible, unveiling patterns, trends, and the influence of cultural, economic, and institutional factors on diverse accounting practices.

Moreover, such historical analyses shed light on the institutional changes that have moulded accounting standardization. The role of regulatory bodies, professional organizations, and international collaborations appears as fundamental elements in defining the scope of accounting standards. Academics can also examine the effect of standardization on financial reporting practices, assessing the effectiveness of accounting standards in providing trustworthy and transparent financial information.

Understanding the historical context of accounting standardization becomes a foundation of accounting education. Students gain from grasping the justification behind existing accounting principles, fostering a profound appreciation for the evolution of accounting practices. Moreover, the study offers the opportunity for scholars to examine the ongoing global convergence efforts in accounting standards, scrutinizing the challenges and achievements of international standard-setting bodies.

Examination of the history of accounting standardization revealed the regulatory responses to financial scandals, economic crises, and crucial events that have happened over time and which provoked alterations and adjustments in accounting standards. This historical consciousness is important for evaluating the effectiveness and efficiency of regulatory measures over time while drawing lessons for future policy considerations. Finally, the relevance of a historical analysis of accounting standardization rests on its ability to inform ongoing discussions on designing effective accounting regulations and their possible impacts on financial reporting practices worldwide.

5.0 Conclusion

This study provides a broad exploration of the history, challenges, and prospects of accounting standardization. The historical journey reflects a continuous effort to improve transparency and comparability in financial reports right from the early developments post-1929 to the period when international accounting standards were established. The challenges of converging the IFRS and the U.S. GAAP are influenced by various factors such as cultural norms, economic variations and legal systems; these emphasize the complexity of achieving global uniformity.

Looking forward, this study visualizes a future where technological advancements, ESG considerations, and international collaboration converge to redefine the standard-setting landscape. As we go through this trans-formative era, characterized by both challenges and opportunities, this study emphasizes the need for continued dialogue, innovation, and cooperation among regulatory bodies, standard-setting organizations, and stakeholders to form a more resilient and globally harmonized financial reporting framework.

References

- Aguilera, R. V., & Jackson, G. (2010). Comparative and international corporate governance. *The academy of management annals*, 4(1), 485-556.
- Ajmal, H., (2023). International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). *An article published on LinkedIn in February, 2023.*
- Ampofo, A. A., & Sellani, R. J. (2005). Examining the differences between United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (US GAAP) and International Accounting Standards (IAS): implications for the harmonization of accounting standards. In *Accounting Forum. Taylor & Francis.*, 29(2), 219-231.
- Barbu, E. M., & Baker, R. C. (2010). A historical and neo-institutional analysis of institutions involved in international accounting convergence. *Accounting and Management Information Systems*, 9(2), 218.
- Barth, M. E., Landsman, W. R., Lang, M., & Williams, C. (2012). Are IFRS-based and US GAAP-based accounting amounts comparable? *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 54, 68-93.
- Benhayoun, I., & Zejjari, I. (2022). The diffusion of innovations' theory shortfall in accounting standardization research: The case of IFRS for SMEs. *Revue Marocaine de Gestion et de Société.*
- Borger, D. (2002). *Constructing representations of risk in regulatory networks: Accounting for financial instruments* (Doctoral dissertation, London School of Economics and Political Science), Unpublished.
- Brown, P., Preiato, J., & Tarca, A. (2014). Measuring country differences in enforcement of accounting standards: An audit and enforcement proxy. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 41(1), 1-52.
- Carnegie, G. D., & Napier, C. J. (2010). Traditional accountants and business professionals: Portraying the accounting profession after Enron. *Accounting, organizations and society*, 35(3), 360-376.
- Carpenter, V. L., & Feroz, E. H. (2001). Institutional theory and accounting rule choice: an analysis of four US state governments' decisions to adopt generally accepted accounting principles. *Accounting, organizations and society*, 26(7-8), 565-596.
- Chand, P., & White, M. (2007). A critique of the influence of globalization and convergence of accounting standards in Fiji. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 18(5), 605-622.
- Dayyala, N., Zaidi, S. K. R., & Bagchi, K. (2020). Diffusion of IFRS using innovation diffusion models. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 28(4), 685-701.
- Diaconu, P. (2007). Impact of globalization on international accounting harmonization. *Available at SSRN 958478.*
- Diaconu, P. (2009). International accounting system and its major challenges in time. *Journal of Modern accounting and auditing*, 5(1), 14.
- Dillard JF, Rigsby JT, Goodman C. The making and remaking of organizational context—duality and the institutionalization process. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal* 2004, 17(4), 506–42.

- Dumitrescu, L., & Vinerean, S. (2010), The glocal strategy of global brands. *Studies in Business and Economics*, 5(3), 147–155.
- Fisher, S. A. (1998). Measuring the evolution of generally accepted accounting principles. *Journal of Applied Business Research (JABR)*, 14(3), 105-112.
- Flesher, D. L., & Previts, G. J. (2020). *Railroads. In The Routledge companion to accounting history*, 443-465. Routledge.
- Guerreiro, M. S., Lima Rodrigues, L., & Craig, R. (2021). Institutional theory and IFRS: an agenda for future research. *Spanish Journal of Finance and Accounting/Revista Española de Financiación y Contabilidad*, 50(1), 65-88.
- Harris, R. (2013). The private origins of the private company: Britain 1862–1907. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 33(2), 339-378.
- Harris, T., Lang, M., & Moeller, H. P. (1994). The value-relevance of German accounting measures: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 32(2), 187-209.
- Harrison, J. S., & van der Laan Smith, J. (2015). Responsible accounting for stakeholders. *Journal of Management Studies*, 52(7), 935-960.
- Hein, L. W. (1963). The British business company: Its origins and its control. *U. Toronto LJ*, 15, 134.
- Hoogerbrugge, C., van de Kaa, G., & Chappin, E. (2023). Adoption of quality standards for corporate greenhouse gas inventories: The importance of other stakeholders. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 260, 108857.
- Ihenyen, C. J., & Robert, S. I. (2023). A review of the historical developments of accounting and its relevance to contemporary societies. *Journal of Global Social Sciences*, 4(15), 114-141.
- Josiah, M., Okoye, A. E., and Adediran, O. S. (2013). Accounting standards in Nigeria, the journey so far. *Research Journal of Business Management and Accounting*, 2(1), 1-10.
- Keller, E., & Gehlmann, G. A. (1988). Introductory comment: a historical introduction to the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. *Ohio St. LJ*, 49 (329).
- Khan, S. R., Khan, H., & Iqbal, K. (2018). Merits in applying AAOIFI accounting standards in Pakistani Islamic banks. *NUML International Journal of Business & Management*, 13(2), 15-26.
- Land, J., & Lang, M. H. (2002). Empirical evidence on the evolution of international earnings. *The Accounting Review*, 77(1), 115-133.
- Lindahl, F. W., & Schadéwitz, H. J. (2009). *US GAAP and IFRS: How close is "Close Enough"?* (Unpublished working paper). George Washington University and the University of Turku. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1413163
- Madan, V. (2002). Re-Statement under US GAAP. *India Infoline Newsletter*.
- Maggi, G., & Rodriguez-Clare, A. (2007). A political-economy theory of trade agreements. *American Economic Review*, 97(4), 1374-1406.
- Mamić-Sačar, I. (2015). The regulatory framework of accounting and accounting standard-setting bodies in the European Union member states. *Financial Theory and Practice*, 39(4), 393-410.
- Matthews, D. (1998). The business doctors: Accountants in British management from the nineteenth century to the present day. *Business History*, 40(3), 72-103.

- Matthews, D., Anderson, M., & Edwards, J. R. (1997). The rise of the professional accountant in British management. *The Economic History Review*, 50(3), 407-429.
- Mednick, R. (1991), "Barriers to International Accounting Harmonization" *Journal of Accounting Literature*.
- Nobes, C., & Parker, R. (1991). *Comparative International Accounting. Third Edition*. New York: Prentice Hall.
- Nolke, A. (2005). Introduction to the special issue: the globalization of accounting standards. *Business and Politics*, 7(3), 1-7.
- Nurunnabi, M. (2015). The impact of cultural factors on the implementation of global accounting standards (IFRS) in a developing country. *Advances in accounting*, 31(1), 136-149.
- Olamide, J. O., & Ajibade, A. T. (2016). Evolution of accounting standards in Nigeria: A historical perspective. *Evolution*, 2(8), 9-24.
- O'Regan, P. (2015). *Financial information analysis: The role of accounting information in modern society*. Routledge.
- Ortega, X. (2017). *A Review of IFRS and U.S. GAAP Convergence History and Relevant Studies. International Business Research*, 10(9), 31-38.
- Parker, R. H. (1990). Regulating British corporate financial reporting in the late nineteenth century. *Accounting, Business & Financial History*, 1(1), 51-71.
- Puyou, F.-R., & Quattrone, P. (2018). The visual and material dimensions of legitimacy: Accounting and the search for societies. *Organization Studies*, 39(5-6), 721-746.
- Rappoport, P., & White, E. N. (1994). Was the crash of 1929 expected? *The American Economic Review*, 84(1), 271-281.
- Rezaee, Z., & Tuo, L. (2019). Are the quantity and quality of sustainability disclosures associated with the innate and discretionary earnings quality? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 155, 763-786.
- Roberts, R. W. and Kurtenbach, J. M. (1992), "An analysis of lobbying activities before the governmental accounting standards board", *Research in Governmental and Nonprofit Accounting*, 7, 25-40
- Rutherford, B. A. (2007). *Financial reporting in the UK: A history of the accounting standards committee, 1969-1990*. Routledge.
- Saudagaran, S. M., & Meek, G. K. (1997). A review of research on the relationship between international capital markets and financial reporting by multinational firms. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 16, 127.
- Schultz Jr, J. J., & Lopez, T. J. (2001). The impact of national influence on accounting estimates: Implications for international accounting standard-setters. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 36(3), 271-290.
- Soderstrom, N. S., & Sun, K. J. (2007). IFRS adoption and accounting quality: A review. *European Accounting Review*, 16, 675-702.
- Street, D. L. (2007). *The US role in the globalization of accounting standards. Globalisation of Accounting Standards*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, 64-80.
- Sunder, S. (2010). Adverse effects of uniform written reporting standards on accounting practice, education, and research. *Journal of accounting and public policy*, 29(2), 99-114.

Sutton, V. (1993). Harmonization of international accounting standards: Is it possible? *Journal of Accounting Education*, 11(1), 177-184.

Trabelsi, R. (2015). International accounting normalization and harmonization processes across the world: History and overview. *GSTF Journal on Business Review (GBR)*, 4, 1-11.

Trimble, M. (2017). *The historical and current status of IFRS adoption around the world*. University of Mannheim, 1-56.

Wagenhofer, A. (2015). Agency theory: Usefulness and implications for financial accounting. In *The Routledge companion to financial accounting theory*, 361-385. Routledge.

Yu, T., Lin, Z., & Tang, Q. (2018). Blockchain: The introduction and its application in financial accounting. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*, 29(4), 37-47

Zeff, S. A. (2007). Some obstacles to global financial reporting comparability and convergence at a high level of quality. *The British Accounting Review*, 39(4), 290-302.